PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER		see Form PCT/ISA/220							
		as, where applicable, item 5 below.								
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	h/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)							
PCT/EP2005/000520	20/01/2005 04/02/2004									
Applicant										
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS	CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS WATER TREATMENTS LIMITED									
This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.										
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsl	eets.								
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document	cited in this	report.							
Basis of the report			- 1							
	international search was carried ou less otherwise indicated under this		sis of the international application in the							
The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application furnished to this Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).										
b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Box No. I.										
2. Certain claims were for	2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box II).									
3. Unity of invention is lac	cking (see Box III).									
4. With regard to the title,										
	ubmitted by the applicant.									
the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:										
5 With ropord to the above										
5. With regard to the abstract ,	ubmitted by the applicant.									
the text has been established	shed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by	this Author	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant							
may, within one month fi	rom the date of mailing of this intern	ational sea	rch report, submit comments to this Authority.							
6. With regard to the drawings ,										
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No										
as suggested by	· ·									
	nis Authority, because the applicant									
	his Authority, because this figure be	tter charact	erizes the invention.							
b none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.									

a. classification of subject matter IPC 7 CO2F1/52 CO2F CO2F1/56 IPC 7 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 CO2F Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, BIOSIS, EMBASE, PAJ, WPI Data C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Relevant to claim No. Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ° 1-22 US 5 552 316 A (SAVAGE ET AL) Y 3 September 1996 (1996-09-03) the whole document 1 - 22US 6 217 778 B1 (SHING JANE B. WONG ET AL) 17 April 2001 (2001-04-17) the whole document 1 - 22WO 2004/015145 A (CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS P,X WATER TREATMENTS LIMITED; HUGHES, JONATHAN) 19 February 2004 (2004-02-19) the whole document 1 - 22WO 2004/015121 A (CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS P,X WATER TREATMENTS LIMITED; HUGHES, JONATHAN; S) 19 February 2004 (2004-02-19) the whole document Patent family members are listed in annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "L" document which may throw doubts on priority daim(s) or "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention which is cited to establish the publication date of another cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docucitation or other special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled other means in the art. document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *&* document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 18/08/2005 4 August 2005 Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl. Pinheiro Vieira, E Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

PCT/	EP2005/	'000520
------	---------	---------

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5552316	Α	03-09-1996	NONE		
US 6217778	B1	17-04-2001	AU AU BR CA EP JP MX NO NZ WO	778290 B2 6395500 A 0013856 A 2378131 A 1218299 A 2003508221 T PA02001075 A 20021130 A 517480 A 0117914 A	10-04-2001 14-05-2002 1 15-03-2001 1 03-07-2002 04-03-2003 20-08-2002 07-05-2002 30-06-2003
WO 2004015145	A	19-02-2004	AU BR CA WO EP	2003250185 A 0313272 A 2494664 A 2004015145 A 1530646 A	05-07-2005 1 19-02-2004 1 19-02-2004
WO 2004015121	Α	19-02-2004	AU BR CA WO EP	2003258548 A 0313415 A 2494656 A 2004015121 A 1527187 A	28-06-2005 1 19-02-2004 1 19-02-2004

То:		PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. PCT/EP2005/000520	nternational application No. International filing date (c		Priority date (day/month/year) 04.02.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or b C02F1/52, C02F1/56	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C02F1/52, C02F1/56					
Applicant CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS WATER TREATMENTS LIMITED						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion						

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>a</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer
Pinheiro Vieira, E

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7865



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

IAP11 Rec'd PUT/ 28 JUL 2006 International application NO PCT/EP2005/000520

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No:

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)
 and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

PCT/EP2005/000520

Re Item V.

- V.1 Reference is made to the following documents:
- 1.1 D1: US 5 552 316 A (SAVAGE ET AL) 3 September 1996
 - D2: US 6 217 778 B1 (SHING JANE B. WONG ET AL) 17 April 2001
 - D3: WO 2004/015145 A (CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS WATER TREATMENTS LIMITED; HUGHES, JONATHAN) 19 February 2004
 - D4: WO 2004/015121 A (CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS WATER TREATMENTS LIMITED; HUGHES, JONATHAN; S) 19 February 2004
- 1.2 D1 concerns a process for separating suspended solids from a fermentation liquor in the presence of anionic and cationic polymers.
 - D2 discloses a process for the clarification and dewatering of industrial waste water in the presence of anionic and nonionic dispersion polymers.
 - These documents differ from claim 1 in that there is no mention of a heat treated fermentation liquor.

Documents D3 and D4 are intermediate documents whose content will be considered on a regional phase before the EPO. The Applicant should note that both documents disclose a process for separating suspended solids from a fermentation liquor the fermentation liquor has been subjected to temperatures greater than 50°C, and where the separation stage comprises the use of cationic and anionic polymers, and therefore, appear to be novelty destroying for the subject matter of claim 1.

V.2 Novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability (Art. 33 PCT):

- 2.1 The present application concerns a process for separating suspended solids from a fermentation liquor which has been subjected to a temperature of at least 50°C, and wherein the solids-liquid separation is assisted by a treatment system comprising an anionic polymer selected from natural polymers and modified natural polymers, and synthetic polymers formed from at least 50% by weight anionic monomer units.
- 2.2 As none of the above cited documents D1 or D2 discloses that the fermentation liquor has been subjected to a temperature of at least 50°C the subject matter of claim 1 appears to be novel in the sense of Art. 33(2) PCT.

2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3)PCT.

The present application differs from the prior art documents D1 or D2 in that the liquor has been subjected to a temperature of at least 50°C. The use of high temperatures in fermentation processes is a merely conventional feature in the art of fermentation, without bringing any apparent advantage to the process of claim 1. The Applicant is invited to state either by argumentation or by way of proof that the use of a temperature of at least 50°C has an advantage or superior effect in the process presently claimed when compared to the processes disclosed in the prior art documents D1 or D2.

The proposed solution in independent claim 1 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).

2.4 The subject matter of claims 1-22 is industrial applicable.